

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON

Julie E. Bender

Plaintiff(s),

Case No.: 3:17-CV-01608-MO

v.

ZOOM CARE PC, et al.

JOINT ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE  
RESOLUTION REPORT

Defendant(s).

---

Pursuant to LR 16-4(d), the parties to all cases, unless exempt, are required to confer regarding ADR and file this report within one-hundred fifty (150) days of the initiation of a lawsuit. This report is submitted in compliance with LR 16-4(d).

1. Have counsel held settlement discussions with their clients and the opposing party?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If not, provide an explanation:

---

---

2. The parties propose: *(check one of the following)*

- ☒ (a) That this case be referred to a neutral of their choice for ADR not sponsored by the Court pursuant to LR 16-4(e)(1).
- ☐ (b) That the Court refer this case to mediation using a Court-sponsored mediator. (See LR 16-4(f) for Court-sponsored mediation procedures). The parties seek a Court mediator because:

---

---

---

☐ (c) ADR may be helpful at a later date following completion of:

---

---

☐ (d) The parties believe the Court would be of assistance in preparing for ADR by:

---

---

☐ (e) The parties do not believe that any form of ADR will assist in the resolution of this case.

☐ (f) Other:

---

---

---

06/08/2018  
Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

By: s/ Kristin L. Bremer Moore  
Kristin L. Bremer Moore, OSB No. 032744  
Of Attorneys for Defendants

By: s/ Craig A. Crispin [signature authorized]  
Craig A. Crispin, OSB No. 824852  
Of Attorneys for Plaintiff